AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND ORDER - 1 (2:20-cv-01866-MJP)

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

8

6

11 12

14

13

1516

17

18 19

2021

2223

2425

26

application of the proportionality standard in discovery, requests for production of ESI and related responses should be reasonably targeted, clear, and as specific as possible.

B. ESI Disclosures

Within 30 days of entry of this Order, or at a later time if agreed to by the parties, each party shall disclose:

- 1. <u>Custodians.</u> The eight custodians for each named party most likely to have discoverable ESI in their possession, custody, or control. The custodians shall be identified by name, title, connection to the instant litigation, and the type of the information under the custodian's control. The parties agree in good faith to adjust the number of custodians as necessary.
- 2. <u>Non-custodial Data Sources.</u> A list of non-custodial data sources (*e.g.*, shared drives, servers), if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI.
- 3. <u>Third-Party Data Sources.</u> A list of third-party data sources, if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI (*e.g.*, third-party email providers, mobile device providers, cloud storage) and, for each such source, the extent to which a party is (or is not) able to preserve information stored in the third-party data source.
- 4. <u>Inaccessible Data.</u> A list of data sources, if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI (by type, date, custodian, electronic system or other criteria sufficient to specifically identify the data source) that a party asserts is not reasonably accessible under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2)(B).

C. ESI Discovery Procedures

- 1. <u>On-site inspection of electronic media.</u> Such an inspection shall not be required absent a demonstration by the requesting party of specific need and good cause or by agreement of the parties.
- 2. <u>Search methodology.</u> The parties shall timely confer to attempt to reach agreement on appropriate search terms and queries, file type and date restrictions, data sources (including custodians), and other appropriate computer- or technology-aided methodologies,

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

before any such effort is undertaken. The parties shall continue to cooperate in revising the appropriateness of the search methodology.

Prior to running searches: a.

- i. The producing party shall disclose the data sources (including custodians), search terms and queries, any file type and date restrictions, and any other methodology that it proposes to use to locate ESI likely to contain responsive and discoverable information. The producing party may provide unique hit counts for each search query.
- The requesting party is entitled to, within 14 days of the ii. producing party's disclosure, add no more than 33 search terms or queries to those disclosed by the producing party absent a showing of good cause or agreement of the parties.
- iii. The following provisions apply to search terms / queries of the requesting party. Focused terms and queries should be employed; broad terms or queries, such as product and company names, generally should be avoided. A conjunctive combination of multiple words or phrases (e.g., "computer" and "system") narrows the search and shall count as a single search term. A disjunctive combination of multiple words or phrases (e.g., "computer" or "system") broadens the search, and thus each word or phrase shall count as a separate search term unless they are variants of the same word. The producing party may identify each search term or query returning overbroad results demonstrating the overbroad results and a counter proposal correcting the overbroad search or query.
- b. After production: Within 21 days of the producing party notifying the receiving party that it has substantially completed the production of documents responsive to a request, the responding party may request no more than 33 additional search terms or queries. The immediately preceding section (Section C(2)(a)(iii)) applies.
- Upon reasonable request, a party shall disclose information relating to c. network design, the types of databases, database dictionaries, the access control list and security access logs and rights of individuals to access the system and specific files and applications, the

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

2021

22

23

2425

26

ESI document retention policy, organizational chart for information systems personnel, or the backup and systems recovery routines, including, but not limited to, tape rotation and destruction/overwrite policy.

3. Format.

- a. ESI will be produced to the requesting party with searchable text, in a format to be decided between the parties. Acceptable formats include, but are not limited to, native files, multi-page TIFFs (with a companion OCR or extracted text file), single-page TIFFs (only with load files for e-discovery software that includes metadata fields identifying natural document breaks and also includes companion OCR and/or extracted text files), and searchable PDF.
- b. Unless otherwise agreed to by the parties, files will be produced in native format.
- c. Each document image file shall be named with a unique number (Bates Number). File names should not be more than twenty characters long or contain spaces. When a text-searchable image file is produced, the producing party must preserve the integrity of the underlying ESI, *i.e.*, the original formatting, the metadata (as noted below) and, where applicable, the revision history.
- d. If a document is more than one page, the unitization of the document and any attachments and/or affixed notes shall be maintained as they existed in the original document.
- e. The parties shall produce their information in the following format: single- page images and associated multi-page text files containing extracted text or with appropriate software load files containing all information required by the litigation support system used by the receiving party.
- f. The full text of each electronic document shall be extracted ("Extracted Text") and produced in a text file. The Extracted Text shall be provided in searchable ASCII

text format (or Unicode text format if the text is in a foreign language) and shall be named with a unique Bates Number (e.g., the unique Bates Number of the first page of the corresponding production version of the document followed by its file extension).

- 4. <u>De-duplication.</u> The parties may de-duplicate their ESI production across custodial and non-custodial data sources after disclosure to the requesting party, and the duplicate custodian information removed during the de-duplication process tracked in a duplicate/other custodian field in the database load file.
- 5. <u>Email Threading.</u> The parties may use analytics technology to identify email threads and need only produce the unique most inclusive copy and related family members and may exclude lesser inclusive copies. Upon reasonable request, the producing party will produce a less inclusive copy.
- 6. <u>Metadata fields.</u> If the requesting party seeks metadata, the parties agree that only the following metadata fields need be produced, and only to the extent it is reasonably accessible and non-privileged: document type; custodian and duplicate custodians (or storage location if no custodian); author/from; recipient/to, cc and bcc; title/subject; email subject; file name; file size; file extension; original file path; date and time created, sent, modified and/or received; and hash value. The list of metadata type is intended to be flexible and may be changed by agreement of the parties, particularly in light of advances and changes in technology, vendor, and business practices.
- 7. <u>Hard-Copy Documents.</u> If the parties elect to produce hard-copy documents in an electronic format, the production of hard-copy documents will include a cross-reference file that indicates document breaks and sets forth the custodian or custodian/location associated with each produced document. Hard-copy documents will be scanned using Optical Character Recognition technology and searchable ASCII text files will be produced (or Unicode text format if the text is in a foreign language), unless the producing party can show that the cost would outweigh the usefulness of scanning (for example, when the condition of the paper is not conducive to

25

26

scanning and will not result in accurate or reasonably useable/searchable ESI). Each file will be named with a unique Bates Number (e.g., the unique Bates Number of the first page of the corresponding production version of the document followed by its file extension).

D. Preservation of ESI

The parties acknowledge that they have a common law obligation, as expressed in Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(e), to take reasonable and proportional steps to preserve discoverable information in the party's possession, custody, or control. With respect to preservation of ESI, the parties agree as follows:

- 1. Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the parties shall not be required to modify the procedures used by them in the ordinary course of business to back-up and archive data; provided, however, that the parties shall preserve all discoverable ESI in their possession, custody, or control.
- 2. The parties will supplement their disclosures in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) with discoverable ESI responsive to a particular discovery request or mandatory disclosure where that data is created after a disclosure or response is made (unless excluded under Sections (D)(3) or (E)(1)-(2)).
- 3. Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the following categories of ESI need not be preserved:
 - Deleted, slack, fragmented, or other data only accessible by forensics. a.
 - Random access memory (RAM), temporary files, or other ephemeral data b. that are difficult to preserve without disabling the operating system.
 - On-line access data such as temporary internet files, history, cache, c. cookies, and the like.
 - d. Data in metadata fields that are frequently updated automatically, such as last-opened dates (see also Section (E)(5)).
 - e. Back-up data that are duplicative of data that are more accessible elsewhere.

- f. Server, system or network logs.
- g. Data remaining from systems no longer in use that is unintelligible on the systems in use.
- h. Electronic data (*e.g.*, email, calendars, contact data, and notes) sent to or from mobile devices (*e.g.*, iPhone, iPad, Android devices), provided that a copy of all such electronic data is automatically saved in real time elsewhere (such as on a server, laptop, desktop computer, or "cloud" storage).

E. Privilege

- 1. A producing party shall create a privilege log of all documents fully withheld from production on the basis of a privilege or protection, unless otherwise agreed or excepted by this Agreement and Order. Privilege logs shall include a unique identification number for each document and the basis for the claim (attorney-client privileged or work-product protection). For ESI, the privilege log may be generated using available metadata, including author/recipient or to/from/cc/bcc names; the subject matter or title; and date created. Should the available metadata provide insufficient information for the purpose of evaluating the privilege claim asserted, the producing party shall include such additional information as required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Privilege logs will be produced to all other parties no later than 45 days after delivering a production and no later than 7 days following the close of class discovery on September 3, 2021 for documents relating to class certification. However, notwithstanding the foregoing, all privilege logs must be produced no later than March 26, 2022, thirty (30) days prior to the deadline for discovery motions.
- 2. Redactions need not be logged so long as the basis for the redaction is clear on the redacted document.
- 3. With respect to privileged or work-product information generated after the filing of the complaint, parties are not required to include any such information in privilege logs.
- 4. Activities undertaken in compliance with the duty to preserve information are protected from disclosure and discovery under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(3)(A) and (B).

1	5. Pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 502	(d), the production of any documents in this
2	proceeding shall not, for the purposes of this	proceeding or any other federal or state proceeding,
3	constitute a waiver by the producing party of	any privilege applicable to those documents,
4	including the attorney-client privilege, attorn	ey work-product protection, or any other privilege
5	or protection recognized by law. Information	n produced in discovery that is protected as
6	privileged or work product shall be immediately returned to the producing party, and its	
7	production shall not constitute a waiver of such protection.	
8		
9	DATED this 27th day of May, 2021.	
10	KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.	KARR TUTTLE CAMPBELL
11		
	a/Custal an Europe and Campia	a/Madaua A Marina anu
12	s/ Gretchen Freeman Cappio Lynn Lincoln Sarko, WSBA #16569	s/ Medora A. Marisseau Medora A. Marisseau
13	Gretchen Freeman Cappio, WSBA #29576	701 Fifth Ave., Ste. 3300
1 4	Ian S. Birk, WSBA #31431 Adele A. Daniel, WSBA #53315	Seattle, WA 98104 Tel.: (206) 223-1313
14	1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200	Fax: (206) 682-7100
15	Seattle, WA 98101-3052	mmarisseau@karrtuttle.com
	Tel.: (206) 623-1900	
16	Fax: (206) 623-3384	Maeve L. O'Connor (pro hac vice)
1.7	lsarko@kellerrohrback.com gcappio@kellerrohrback.com	Susan Reagan Gittes (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Debevoise & Plimpton LLP
17	ibirk@kellerrohrback.com	919 Third Avenue
18	adaniel@kellerrohrback.com	New York, New York 10022 Tel.: (212) 909-6000
19	Alison E. Chase (pro hac vice)	mloconnor@debevoise.com
19	KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.	srgittes@debevoise.com
20	801 Garden Street, Suite 301	
	Santa Barbara, CA 93101	Counsel for Defendants
21	Tel: (805) 456-1496	
22	achase@kellerrohrback.com	
22	Jerome M. Marcus (pro hac vice)	
23	Jonathan Auerbach (pro hac vice) MARCUS & AUERBACH LLC	
24	1121 N. Bethlehem Pike, Suite 60-242	
-	Spring House, PA 19477	
25	Tel.: (215) 885-2250	
	Fax: (888) 875-0469	
26	jmarcus@marcusauerbach.com auerbach@marcusauerbach.com	

1	Edward Stane (non-housing)	
Edward Stone (<i>pro hac vice</i>) EDWARD STONE LAW P.C. 175 West Putnam Avenue, 2nd Floor Greenwich, CT 06830 Tel.: (203) 504-8425	EDWARD STONE LAW P.C.	
	Greenwich, CT 06830	
4	Fax: (203) 348-8477 eddie@edwardstonelaw.com	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
6	Thiorneys for I taintiffs	
7	ODDED	
8	ORDER	
9	Based on the foregoing, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	Dated May 27, 2021.	
11		
12	Wassley Helens	
13	Marsha J. Pechman United States District Judge	
14	Officed States District Judge	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		